# 2008 GEM modeling challenge: metrics study of the Dst index in physics-based magnetosphere/ring current models and in statistical/analytic specifications.

L. Rastaetter, M Kuznetsova, A. Pulkkinen, M. Hesse (Community Coordinated Modeling Center, NASA GSFC, Greenbelt, MD, USA),

R. Boynton, V. Eccles, J. Gannon, A Glocer, V. Jordanova, X. Meng, J. Raeder, S. Sazykin, H. Wei, R. Weigel, D. Welling, M. Wiltberger, , Y. Yu, S. Zaharia (authors listed alphabetically)

### Abstract:

In this paper the metrics-based results of the Dst part of the 2008-2009 GEM Metrics Challenge are reported. The Metrics Challenge asked modelers to submit results for 4 geomagnetic storm events and 5 different types of observations that can be modeled by statistical/climatologic or physics-based (MHD or kinetic) models of the magnetosphere-ionosphere system.

We present the results of over 30 model settings that were run at the Community Coordinated Modeling Center (CCMC) and at the institutions of various modelers for these events. To measure the performance of each of the models against the observations we use comparisons of one-hour averaged model data with the Dst index issued by the World Data Center for Geomagnetism, Kyoto, Japan, and direct comparison of one-minute model data with the one-minute Dst index calculated by the United States Geologic Survey (USGS)

#### The DST index:

Measures geomagnetic activity by tracking the horizontal magnetic perturbation at mid-latitude stations around the Earth.

Negative values (DST<-50 nT) during storm times.

#### KYOTO-DST:

1-hour-averaged index (real-time, provisional, definitive) from the KYOTO World Data Center. Uses 4 magnetometers (HON, SIG, HER, KAK). Baseline subtraction done in the time domain. Correct baseline determined much later (years after measurement) for definitive index.

#### USGS near-real-time DST:

1-minute averaged data from 4 (or 3) stations provided by he United States Geologic Survey. Real-time analysis and baseline subtraction are done in the Fourier domain (allows for determination close to real-time).

## Types of calculations to obtain DST:

Magnetosphere models (SWMF, OpenGGCM, LFM):

"DST" at Earth's center"

 $dB = \sum (JxR)_7 R^{-3}dV$ 

with **R**=(-x,-y,-z) and dV the volume element at position **R**. Magnetic perturbation at center of Earth identical to the Z component of dB in SM coordinates.

Ring Current models (and WINDMI from energy balance):

Dessler-Parker-Sckopke relation from total energy and DST\*: DST\* = -3.98  $10^{-30}$  E<sub>RC</sub> DST\* = Dst/1.5 + 0.2 (P<sub>dyn.sw</sub> [eV])  $^{\frac{1}{2}}$  -20

thus:

DST =  $-5.97 \cdot 10^{-30} E_{RC} - 0.3 (P_{dvn,sw} [eV])^{\frac{1}{2}} + 30$ 

## **Events studied:**

### GEM 2008 modeling challenge

Event 1: Oct. 29, 06:00 to Oct. 30, 06:00, 2003 (part of the Halloween Storm)

Event 2: Dec. 14, 12:00 to Dec. 16, 00:00 2006 (AGU storm)

Event 3: Aug. 31, 00:00 to Sep. 1, 00:00, 2001

Event 4: Aug. 31, 10:00 to Sep. 1, 12:00, 2005

Timelines are online at http://ccmc.gsfc.nasa.gov select "Metrics and Validation"

"GEM Metrics Challenge"

"Time Series Plotting Tool"

Dst is "Metrics Study 5"

Model runs for each event Global Magnetosphere Models: Run ID 1 SWMF SWMF v7.73. RATS-R-US. 2 million cells, min. res. ¼ RF SWMF v7.73, BATS-R-US, 700000 cells, min. res. ¼ RE 2 SWMF 3\_SWMF SWMF v8.01, BATS-R-US coupled to RCM, 2 million cells, SWMF v8.01. BATS-R-US. 3 million cells, min, res, 1/4 RE 4 SWMF SWMF v8.01, BATS-R-US coupled to RCM, 3 million cells, min, res 1/4 RE 5 SWMF 6 SWMF SWMF v20090403 BATS-R-US+RCM2, 900k cells, RT on 64 procs, res. ¼ RE Yes SWMF V. 20110215 BATSRUS+CRCM, 1.78M cells, 1/8 RE res. 7 SWMF SWMF V20110111 BATS-R-US+RCM2. 1M cells, res, ¼ RE, InnerBo density0.2/cc RCM ions ratio NH/NO9/1 SWMF.v20110131\_SWPC, 1,007,616 cells with RCM2, res. ¼ RE, CCMC 9 SWMF 1 OpenGGCM OpenGGCM v3.1 coupled to CTIM, 3 million cells, min. res. 1/4 RE 2\_OpenGGCM OpenGGCM v3.1 coupled to CTIM, 6.5 million cells, min. res. ¼ RE 1\_LFM-MIX CMIT-LFM-MIX\_1-0-4, LFMwith 53x48x64 cells, min. res. 1/6 RE radial 1 CMIT-LTR CMIT-LTR\_2-1-1, LFM with 53x48x64 cells, min. res. 1/8 RE radial 1 WINDMI WINDMI 1.0 with nominal parameters, rectified solar wind driver 2 WINDMI WINDMI 1.0 with nominal parameters, Siscoe solar wind driver 3 WINDMI WINDMI 1.0 with nominal parameters, Newell solar wind driver **Ring Current Models:** 

Run ID	Description	E 1	E 2	E 3	E 4
L_FRC	Fok Ring current model run off 4_SWMF data	yes	yes	yes	yes
_FRC	Fok Ring current model run off 5_SWMF data	yes	yes	yes	yes
FRC	Fok Ring current model run off 8_SWMF data	yes	yes	yes	yes
L_RCM	RCM, Hilmer and Voigt B, Siscoe-Hill PCPC, Tsyganenko and Mukai (2003) plasma at outer L	yes	yes	yes	yes
2_RCM	RCM, Hilmer and Voigt B, Siscoe-Hill PCPC, Borovsky 1998 plasma at outer L	yes	yes	yes	yes
RCM	RCM, Hilmer and Voigt B, Siscoe-Hill PCPC, MSM plasma at outer L	yes	yes	yes	yes
_RCM	RCM, Hilmer and Voigt B, Weimer 2005 PCPC, Borovsky 1998 plasma at outer L	yes	yes	yes	yes
_RAMSCB	RAM-SCB, stand-alone, LANL particles, Volland-Stern E, Dipole B	no	yes	yes	yes
_RAMSCB	RAM-SCB, stand-alone, LANL particles, Weimer-2K E, Dipole B	no	yes	yes	yes
RAMSCB	RAM-SCB, stand-alone, LANL particles, Weimer-2K E, T89 B	no	yes	yes	yes
_RAMSCB	RAM-SCBdriven by SWMA/BATSRUS+RIM	no	no	yes	yes
_RAMSCB	RAM-SCBdriven by SWMA/BATSRUS+RIM+PWOM	no	no	yes	yes

### Statistical / Analytical Specifications:

Run ID	Model Description	E 1	E 2	E 3	E 4
1_IRF	IRF, Impulse Response Function with 96 lags (ver. 0)	yes	yes	yes	yes
1_BFM	Burton (1975) Feldstein (1992) and Murayama (1982) (	yes	yes	yes	yes
1_RDST	Real-time Dst derivation (RDST), Space Environment Corp.	yes	yes	yes	yes
1_NARMAX	NARMAX polynomial derivation from previous DST, 1-hour OMNI solar wind, no ring current effects	no	yes	yes	yes
2_NARMAX	NARMAX polynomial derivation from previous DST, 1-hour OMNI solar wind, with ring current effects	no	yes	yes	yes
1_RiceDst	Rice Univ. neural network, Boyle (1997) solar wind driver and dynamic pressure	yes	yes	yes	ye

### Summary:

A large number of models and model settings have been run in a collaborative effort.

Dst from both KYOTO (1-hour) and USGS (1-minute) have been used.

Magnetosphere models show widest range in performance and see improvement when coupled to kinetic ring current model (RCM, CRCM). Both coupled and stand-alone ring current models need improvements with particle loss (recovery phase).

Specifications based on statistical algorithms perform best in this study.

Ranking of models need to take into account multi-dimensional scores.



